

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,400	LUBISCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emily Bernhardt	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Emily Bernhardt.

(2) Mr. Martin Katz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

E Bernhardt
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of claims discussed: From applicants' response it appears that nonelected claims are intended to be cancelled. Mr. Katz concurred and said that applicants are preserving their right to file a division to pursue the nonelected subject matter. Accordingly, the method claims will be cancelled via an Examiner's Amendment. The examiner pointed to a sheet of data provided in the response which appears to be extraneous and not formally entered. It was agreed to ignore the page. .